Defendants.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC,  Plaintiff, v.	NO. C 10-03724 JW NO. C 10-05254 JW ORDER FOLLOWING TUTORIAL
Acer, Inc., et al.,	HEARING; ORDER RELATING CASES FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES
AT&T, Inc., et al.,	<del>-</del>

On February 25, 2011, the Court conducted a tutorial of the patents-in-suit. In light of the parties' presentations at the tutorial and the discussion at the conference, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- (1) Upon review and consideration of the technology involved and the nature of the dispute, the Court finds a single Markman to construe all the disputed claims would be more efficient than any bifurcation at this time. Thus, the Court will conduct a claim construction hearing and issue a Claim Construction Order that will serve as the law of the case prior to bifurcation.
- (2) Once the Claim Construction is issued, the Court will invite cross-briefing from the parties to address the issues identified at the tutorial, namely, remedy exhaustion and direct versus indirect infringement of the patents-in-suit and any other additional issues the Court may identify following the claim construction hearing;

(3)	Although it is the Court's practice to not relate cases that are already assigned to it,		
	the Court orders that C 10-5254 JW be related to C 10-3724 for the purposes of claim		
	construction coordination. The Court lifts the stay imposed in C 10-5254 JW so that		
	the parties in the AT&T can meet and confer with the Defendants in the Acer case		
	and participate in the claim construction process.		

- On March 28, 2011 at 10 a.m., the Court will conduct an Initial Case Management (4) Conference.
- (5) On or before March 18, 2011, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a Joint Statement and Proposed Schedule in accordance with Patent L.R. 2-1. Specifically, the parties shall address the timing and structure of the claim construction briefing and presentation. In particular, the Court is concerned with which Defendants will take an active role in the claim construction process. Defendants shall meet and confer and if feasible, designate lead attorneys who will represent the interests of all Defendants at the Markman.

Dated: March 7, 2011

United States District Chief Judge

## THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

2	Andy	Tindel atir	ndel@and	lytindel	.com
	A (1	TT C		• •	

1

4

Anthony H. Son ason@wileyrein.com

Ashlea Pflug araymond@winston.com

Barry Kenneth Shelton shelton@fr.com Benjamin Charles Elacqua elacqua@fr.com

Brian Christopher Claassen Brian.Claassen@kmob.com

5 Bruce A Smith bsmith@jwfirm.com

Charlene Marie Morrow cmorrow@fenwick.com

6 Charles Ainsworth charley@pbatyler.com Christopher Frederick Jeu cjeu@mofo.com

7 Christopher Needham Cravey ccravey@wmalaw.com

Christopher Ronald Noyes christopher.noyes@wilmerhale.com

8 Craig Steven Summers 2css@kmob.com

Danny Lloyd Williams dwilliams@wmalaw.com

9 Darryl Michael Woo dwoo@fenwick.com

David J Healey healey@fr.com

David Lee Gann dgann@rgrdlaw.com 10

David T McDonald david.mcdonald@klgates.com

11 David T Pollock dpollock@reedsmith.com

Deron R Dacus ddacus@rameyflock.com

12 Dominic E. Massa dominic.massa@wilmerhale.com

Douglas R. Young dyoung@fbm.com

13 E Joseph Benz jbenz@csgrr.com

Eric Louis Toscano etoscano@reedsmith.com

14 Garland T. Stephens stephens@fr.com

Harold H Davis harold.davis@klgates.com

15 Hector J. Ribera hribera@fenwick.com

Hiep Huu Nguyen hnguyen@winston.com

16 Hsiang H. Lin jlin@ftbklaw.com

Irfan A Lateef 2ial@kmob.com

17 Irfan Ahmed Lateef ial@kmob.com

Jack Wesley Hill fedserv@icklaw.com

18 James Patrick Brogan jbrogan@cooley.com

Jason S Jackson jjackson@rgrdlaw.com 19 Jeffrey Fuming Yee yeej@gtlaw.com

Jeffrey K. Joyner joynerj@gtlaw.com

20 Jennifer Parker Ainsworth jainsworth@wilsonlawfirm.com

Jessica M. Kattula jkattula@rgrdlaw.com

21 John Christopher Herman jherman@rgrdlaw.com

John K. Grant johnkg@rgrdlaw.com

22 John Philip Brinkmann brinkmann@fr.com

John W Thornburgh thornburgh@fr.com

23 Jonah D Mitchell jmitchell@reedsmith.com Jonah Dylan Mitchell jmitchell@reedsmith.com

24 Jordan Jaffe jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

Karl J Kramer kkramer@mofo.com

25 Kevin P.B. Johnson kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Kimball R Anderson kanderson@winston.com

26 Kyle D Chen kyle.chen@cooley.com

Kyung Kim dkim@wmalaw.com

27 Lam Khanh Nguyen lnguyen@cooley.com

28

1	Laura Katherine Carter lcarter@winston.com					
2	Lillian J Pan lpan@orrick.com Lionel Marks Lavenue Lionel.Lavenue@finnegan.com					
	Mahmoud Munes Tomeh 2mmt@kmob.com					
3	Mark Daniel Selwyn mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com Marko R Zoretic 2mrz@kmob.com	l				
4	Matthew Clay Harris mch@emafirm.com					
_	Matthew J. Brigham mbrigham@cooley.com					
5	Michael J Newton mike.newton@alston.com					
6	Michael J. Bettinger mike.bettinger@klgates.com Michael L Brody Mbrody@winston.com					
7	Nicholas James Nugent nicholas.nugent@finnegan.com Patricia Kane Schmidt patricia.schmidt@klgates.com					
,	Peter M Jones pjones@rgrdlaw.com	11				
8	Ray R. Zado rayzado@quinnemanuel.com					
9	Richard T Ting rting@reedsmith.com Robert Christopher Bunt rcbunt@pbatyler.com					
9	Robert M Parker rmparker@pbatyler.com					
10	Roderick Bland Williams rick.williams@klgates.com	n				
11	Roger Brian Craft bcraft@findlaycraft.com					
11	Ruben Singh Bains rbains@wmalaw.com Ryan K. Walsh rwalsh@rgrdlaw.com					
12						
1.2	Sean Sang-Chul Pak seanpak@quinnemanuel.com					
13						
14	Seth McCarthy Sproul sproul@fr.com Steven S. Baik sbaik@ftbklaw.com					
	Thomas J. Friel tfriel@cooley.com					
15	Thomas John Ward jw@jwfirm.com Timothy Paar Walker timothy walker@klgates.com					
16	Timothy Paar Walker timothy.walker@klgates.com William F. Lee william.lee@wilmerhale.com					
17						
18	Dated: March 7, 2011	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk				
10						
19		By: /s/ JW Chambers				
20		Elizabeth Garcia Courtroom Deputy				
20		Court from Deputy				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						